IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT STOUD	: CIVIL ACTON
Plaintiff	:
VS.	:
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY	:
Defendant	: No. 3:17-CV-2183-MEM
<u>VEF</u>	RDICT SLIP
As to Plaintiff, Robert Stoud, and	Defendant, Susquehanna County, the jury
unanimously finds as follows:	
Hostile W	ork Environment
1. Did the Plaintiff (Robert Sto	oud) prove, by the preponderance of the
evidence, that due to his investigation ar	nd report of a sexual harassment claim and/or
his filing of an EEOC Complaint, the Cou	unty of Susquehanna subjected him to a hostile
work environment in violation of Title VII	of the Federal Civil Rights Act?
YES	NO
2. Did the Plaintiff (Robert Sto	oud) prove, by the preponderance of the
evidence, that due to his investigation an	nd report of a sexual harassment claim and/or
his filing of an EEOC Complaint, the Cou	unty of Susquehanna subjected him to a hostile
work environment in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act?	
YES	NO

Retaliation

3. Did the Plaintiff (Robert Stoud) prove, by a preponderance of the	
evidence, that he engaged in the protected activities of investigating and reporting a	
sexual harassment claim and/or filing an EEOC Complaint for which the County of	
Susquehanna and/or its agents retaliated against him?	
YES NO	
Constructive Discharge	
4. Did Plaintiff (Robert Stoud) prove, by a preponderance of the evidence,	
that his working conditions were made so intolerable by the hostile work environment	
that a reasonable person in the Plaintiff's position would be justified in leaving his job?	
YES NO	
If you answered "NO" to Questions, 1, 2, 3 and 4 above, you have completed	
your deliberations. If you answered "YES" to any of Questions 1, 2, 3, or 4 above,	
proceed to Question 5.	
Damages	
5. What amount of monetary damages do you award the Plaintiff (Robert	
Stoud) for:	
Loss of Past Earnings	
Loss of Future Earnings	

Past	t and Future Compensatory Damages including Mental and Emotional
Pain	and Suffering and Reputational Harm
Nom	ninal Damages
6. Did l	Plaintiff (Robert Stoud) prove, by a preponderance of the evidence,
that the County of	Susquehanna and/or its agents' conduct toward him was malicious,
oppressive or in re	eckless disregard of his rights?
	YES NO
If your answ	wer to Question No. 6 is "NO", do not answer the next question;
instead go directly	to the end of this form, sign and date it where indicated. Otherwise,
please answer the	e next Question.
7. Base	ed on the evidence presented and proven by a preponderance of the
evidence, what an	nount of punitive damages should be awarded in this case?
\$	
Dated:	
Dated.	
	Foreperson